arXiv:1701.06651v1 [math.NT] 23 Jan 2017

MOMENTS OF ZETA AND CORRELATIONS OF DIVISOR-SUMS: V

BRIAN CONREY AND JONATHAN P. KEATING

Abstract. In this series of papers we examine the calculation of the $2k$th moment and shifted moments of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line using long Dirichlet polynomials and divisor correlations. The present paper completes the general study of what we call Type II sums which utilize a circle method framework and a convolution of shifted convolution sums to obtain all of the lower order terms in the asymptotic formula for the mean square along $[T, 2T]$ of a Dirichlet polynomial of arbitrary length with divisor functions as coefficients.

1. Introduction

This paper is part V of a sequence devoted to understanding how to conjecture all of the integral moments of the Riemann zeta-function from a number theoretic perspective. The method is to approximate $\zeta(s)^k$ by a long Dirichlet polynomial and then to compute the mean square of the Dirichlet polynomial (c.f. [GG]). There are many off-diagonal terms and it is the care of these that is the concern of these papers. In particular it is necessary to treat the off-diagonal terms by a method invented by Bogomolny and Keating [BK1, BK2]. Our perspective on this method is that it is most properly viewed as a multi-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood circle method.

In previous papers [CK1, CK3] we have developed a general method to calculate what were called type-I off-diagonal contributions in [BK1, BK2]; these are the off-diagonal terms usually considered in number-theoretic computations, e.g. in [GG]. In parts II [CK2] and IV [CK4] we considered the simplest of the type-II off-diagonal terms (in the terminology of [BK1, BK2]). These, somewhat unexpectedly, give a significant contribution in certain cases. They have not previously been analysed systematically. Our purpose here is to develop a general method for computing all type-II off-diagonal terms.

The formula we obtain is in complete agreement with all of the main terms predicted by the recipe of [CFKRS] (and in particular, with the leading order term conjectured in [KS]).

Date: January 25, 2017.

We gratefully acknowledge support under EPSRC Programme Grant EP/K034383/1 LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms. Research of the first author was also supported by the American Institute of Mathematics and by a grant from the National Science Foundation. JPK is grateful for the following additional support: a grant from the Leverhulme Trust, a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award, a Royal Society Leverhulme Senior Research Fellowship, and a grant from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Material Command, USAF (number FA8655-10-1-3088). He is also pleased to thank the American Institute of Mathematics for hospitality during two visits when work on this project was conducted.

2. Shifted moments

We follow the notation of the [CK] papers.

The moment we are interested in is

$$R^{\psi}_{A,B}(T) = \int_0^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \prod_{\alpha\in A} \zeta(s+\alpha) \prod_{\beta\in B} \zeta(1-s+\beta)\, dt \tag{1}$$

where ψ is a smooth function with compact support, say $\psi \in C^{\infty}[1,2]$, and $s = 1/2 + it$. The recipe [CFKRS] tells us how we expect this moment will behave, namely

$$R^{\psi}_{A,B}(T) = T\int_0^{\infty} \psi(t) \sum_{\substack{U\subset A, V\subset B\\ |U|=|V|}} \left(\frac{tT}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}\in U\\ \hat{\beta}\in V}}(\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta})} \mathcal{B}(A-U+V^-, B-V+U^-, 1)\, dt + o(T)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(A,B,s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(n)\tau_B(n)}{n^s}.$$

We have used an unconventional notation here; by $A-U+V^-$ we mean the following: start with the set A and remove the elements of U and then include the negatives of the elements of V. We think of the process as "swapping" equal numbers of elements between A and B; when elements are removed from A and put into B they first get multiplied by -1. We keep track of these swaps with our equal-sized subsets U and V of A and B; and when we refer to the "number of swaps" in a term we mean the cardinality $|U|$ of U (or, since they are of equal size, of V).

The technique we are developing in this sequence of papers is to approach the moment problem (1) through long Dirichlet polynomials, i.e. we consider

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\psi}_{A,B}(T;X) : &= \int_0^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) D_A(s;X) D_B(1-s;X)\, dt \\ &= T\sum_{m,n\le X} \frac{\tau_A(m)\tau_B(n)\hat{\psi}\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\log\frac{m}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{mn}} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for various ranges of X. We can use the recipe of [CFKRS] to conjecture a formula for I^{ψ}. We start with

$$D_A(s;X) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_w \frac{X^w}{w} D_{A_w}(s)\, dw.$$

Thus,

$$I^{\psi}_{A,B}(T;X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{z,w} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zw} R^{\psi}_{A_w,B_z}(T)\, dw\, dz.$$

We insert the conjecture above from the recipe and expect that

$$I^{\psi}_{A,B}(T;X) = T\int_0^{\infty}\psi(t)\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{z,w}\frac{X^{z+w}}{zw}\sum_{\substack{U\subset A, V\subset B\\ |U|=|V|}}\left(\frac{tT}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}\in U\\ \hat{\beta}\in V}}(\hat{\alpha}+w+\hat{\beta}+z)} \times\mathcal{B}(A_w-U_w+V_z^-, B_z-V_z+U_w^-, 1)\,dw\,dz\,dt+o(T).$$

We have done a little simplification here: instead of writing $U\subset A_w$ we have written $U\subset A$ and changed the exponent of $(tT/2\pi)$ accordingly.

Notice that there is a factor $(X/T^{|U|})^{w+z}$ in the previous equation. As mentioned above we refer to $|U|$ as the number of "swaps" in the recipe, and now we see more clearly the role it plays; in the terms above for which $X<T^{|U|}$ we move the path of integration in w or z to $+\infty$ so that the factor $(X/T^{|U|})^{w+z}\to 0$ and the contribution of such a term is 0. Thus, the size of X determines how many "swaps" we must keep track of.

In [CK1] and [CK3] we considered 0 and 1 swap. In [CK2] we considered two swaps but in a special case. In [CK4] we looked at the general case of two swaps. Now we look at the general case with any number of swaps. We have also begun to explore the analogous calculations for averages of ratios of the zeta function, specifically in the context of zero correlations [CK5, CK6].

3. Type II convolution sums

We make use of the fact that if $A=A_1\cup A_2\cdots\cup A_\ell$ and $B=B_1\cup B_2\cdots\cup B_\ell$ then τ_A and τ_B are convolutions: $\tau_A=\tau_{A_1}*\tau_{A_2}*\cdots*\tau_{A_\ell}$ and $\tau_B=\tau_{B_1}*\tau_{B_2}*\cdots*\tau_{B_\ell}$. We are thus interested in

$$\mathcal{O}_{II}=\sum_{\substack{m_1m_2\dots m_\ell\le X\\ n_1n_2\dots n_\ell\le X\\ 0<|m_1\cdots_\ell-n_1\dots n_\ell|<X/\tau}}\frac{\tau_{A_1}(m_1)\dots\tau_{A_\ell}(m_\ell)\tau_{B_1}(n_1)\dots\tau_{B_\ell}(n_\ell)}{m_1\dots m_\ell}\hat{\psi}(\frac{T}{2\pi}\log((n_1\dots n_\ell)/(m_1\dots m_\ell)).$$

Now we embark on a discrete analog of the circle method which basically consists of approximating a ratio, say m_j/n_j, by a rational number with a small denominator, say M_j/N_j, for $j=1,2,\dots,\ell$ and then sum all of the terms with m_j/n_j close to M_j/N_j.

Now given an M_j and N_j we sum over the terms m_j and n_j for which $m_j/n_j\in\mathcal{M}_{M_j,N_j}$; for such a pair we define

$$h_j:=n_jM_j-m_jN_j.$$

In general, the rapid decay of $\hat{\psi}$ governs the range of the h_j; see below.

We have

$$n_1\dots n_\ell M_1\dots M_\ell=(m_1N_1+h_1)\dots(m_\ell N_\ell+h_\ell)$$

so that

$$\frac{n_1\dots n_\ell}{m_1\dots m_\ell}=\big(1+\frac{h_1}{m_1N_1}\big)\dots\big(1+\frac{h_\ell}{m_\ell N_\ell}\big)$$

and

$$\log \frac{n_1 \dots n_\ell}{m_1 \dots m_\ell} = \frac{h_1}{m_1 N_1} + \dots \frac{h_\ell}{m_\ell N_\ell} + O\big(\frac{h_i h_j}{m_1 \dots m_\ell N_1 \dots N_\ell}\big).$$

The error term is negligible so we can arrange the sum as

$$\sum_{\substack{M_j \le N_j \le Q \\ (M_j, N_j)=1 \\ M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell}} \sum_{h_1, \dots h_\ell} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \dots m_\ell \le X \\ (*_1), \dots (*_\ell)}} \frac{\tau_{A_1}(m_1) \dots \tau_{A_\ell}(m_\ell) \tau_{B_1}(n_1) \dots \tau_{B_\ell}(n_\ell)}{m_1 \dots m_\ell} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{T h_1}{2\pi m_1 N_1} + \dots + \frac{T h_\ell}{2\pi m_\ell N_\ell} \right)$$

where

$$(*_j) : m_j N_j - n_j M_j = h_j.$$

4. $h_1 \dots h_\ell \neq 0$

Let us first look at the situation where none of the h_j is 0.

We replace the convolution sums by their averages, i.e.

$$\int_{u_1 \dots u_\ell \le X} \langle \tau_{A_1}(m_1) \tau_{B_1}(n_1) \rangle_{m_1 \sim u_1}^{(*_1)} \dots \langle \tau_{A_\ell}(m_\ell) \tau_{B_\ell}(n_\ell) \rangle_{m_\ell \sim u_\ell}^{(*_\ell)} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{T h_1}{2\pi u_1 N_1} + \dots \frac{T h_\ell}{2\pi u_\ell N_\ell} \right) \frac{du_1}{u_1} \dots \frac{du_\ell}{u_\ell}$$

where, for example, with $* : mN - nM = h$ we expect by the delta-method [DFI] that

$$\langle \tau_A(m) \tau_B(n) \rangle_{m \sim u}^{(*)} \sim \frac{1}{M} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \langle \tau_A(m) e(mN/q) \rangle_{m \sim u} \langle \tau_B(n) e(nM/q) \rangle_{n \sim \frac{uN}{M}}$$

where

$$\langle \tau_A(m) e(mN/q) \rangle_{m \sim u} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-1|=\epsilon} D_A(w, e\big(\frac{N}{q}\big)) u^{w-1} \, dw.$$

So, we are led to

$$\sum_{\substack{M_j, N_j, (M_j, N_j)=1 \\ N_1 \dots N_\ell = M_1 \dots M_\ell}} \frac{1}{M_1 \dots M_\ell} \sum_{q_j, h_j} r_{q_1}(h_1) \dots r_{q_\ell}(h_\ell) \int_{T^\ell \le u_1 \dots u_\ell \le X}$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w_j - 1| = \epsilon} D_{A_j}(w_j, e\big(\frac{N_j}{q_j}\big)) u_j^{w_j - 1} dw_j \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z_k - 1| = \epsilon} D_{B_k}(z_k, e\big(\frac{M_k}{q_k}\big)) \big(\frac{u_k N_k}{M_k}\big)^{z_k - 1} dz_k$$
$$\times \hat{\psi}\left(T\left(\frac{h_1}{2\pi u_1 N} + \dots + \frac{h_\ell}{2\pi u_\ell N_\ell} \right) \right) \frac{du_1}{u_1} \dots \frac{du_\ell}{u_\ell}.$$

We make the changes of variable $v_j = \frac{T|h_j|}{2\pi u_j N_j}$ and bring the sums over the h_j to the inside; $u_1 \dots u_\ell < X$ implies that

$$|h_1 \dots h_\ell| < \frac{(2\pi)^\ell X v_1 \dots v_\ell N_1 \dots N_\ell}{T^\ell}.$$

We detect this condition using Perron's formula in an integral over s. Then the above is

$$\int_{0<v_1,\dots,v_\ell<\infty} \sum_{\substack{M_j,N_j,(M_j,N_j)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell}} \frac{1}{M_1\dots M_\ell}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} \sum_{q_j,h_j} \frac{r_{q_1}(h_1)\dots r_{q_\ell}(h_\ell)}{(h_1\dots h_\ell)^s}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w_j-1|=\epsilon} D_{A_j}(w_j, e(\frac{N_j}{q_j}))(\frac{Th_j}{2\pi v_j N_j})^{w_j-1}dw_j$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z_k-1|=\epsilon} D_{B_k}(z_k, e(\frac{M_k}{q_k}))(\frac{Th_k}{2\pi v_k M_k})^{z_k-1}dz_k$$

$$\times \left(\frac{(2\pi)^\ell X v_1\dots v_\ell N_1\dots N_\ell}{T^\ell}\right)^s \hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1 v_1+\dots+\epsilon_\ell v_\ell)\ \frac{dv_1}{v_1}\dots\frac{dv_\ell}{v_\ell}\ \frac{ds}{s}$$

where $\epsilon_j = \mathrm{sgn}(h_j)$. We simplify this a bit. We combine the middle two lines into a single product over j and gather together all of the like variables:

$$\text{LHS} := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} X^s(\frac{T}{2\pi})^{-\ell s} \sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\dots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell\\ \epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}} \int_{0<v_1,\dots,v_\ell<\infty} \hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1 v_1+\dots+\epsilon_\ell v_\ell)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\Bigg[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{\substack{|w_j-1|=\epsilon\\ |z_k-1|=\epsilon}} M_j^{-z_j}N_j^{s+1-w_j}\sum_{h_j,q_j}\frac{r_{q_j}(h_j)}{h_j^{s+2-w_j-z_j}}v_j^{s+1-w_j-z_j}$$

$$D_{A_j}(w_j,e(\frac{N_j}{q_j}))D_{B_j}(z_j,e(\frac{M_j}{q_j}))(\frac{T}{2\pi})^{w_j+z_j-2}dw_jdz_j\ dv_j\Bigg]\ \frac{ds}{s}$$

Now we have our key identity:

Theorem 1.

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} X^s \int_0^\infty \psi(t) \sum_{\substack{U(\ell)\subset A\\ V(\ell)\subset B}} \left(\frac{Tt}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\hat{\alpha}\in U(\ell)}(\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}+s)_{\hat{\beta}\in V(\ell)}}$$

$$\times \mathcal{B}(A_s - U(\ell)_s + V(\ell)^-, B - V(\ell) + U(\ell)_s{}^-, s+1)\ dt\ \frac{ds}{s}$$

where $U(\ell)$ denotes a set of cardinality ℓ with precisely one element from each of $A_1,\dots,A_\ell$ and similarly $V(\ell)$ denotes a set of cardinality ℓ with precisely one element from each of $B_1,\dots,B_\ell$.

5. Preliminary reductions

Using

$$\hat{\psi}(v_1+\dots+v_\ell) = \int_0^\infty \psi(t)e(t(v_1+\dots+v_\ell))\ dt$$

we see that

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}} \hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1 v_1+\cdots+\epsilon_\ell v_\ell) = \int_0^\infty \psi(t)\big(e(tv_1)+e(-tv_1)\big)\dots\big(e(tv_\ell)+e(-tv_\ell)\big)\ dt;$$

also

$$\int_0^\infty v^{s+\alpha+\beta-1}(e(tv)+e(-tv))\ dv = t^{-s-\alpha-\beta}\chi(1-s-\alpha-\beta).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}} \int_{v_1,\dots,v_\ell=0}^\infty \hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1 v_1+\dots\epsilon_\ell v_\ell)\prod_{j=1}^\ell v_j^{s+1-w_j-z_j}dv_j$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \psi(t)\prod_{j=1}^\ell \chi(w_j+z_j-s-1)t^{w_j+z_j-s-2}\ dt.$$

6. Poles

We have

$$\langle\tau_A(m)e(m/q)\rangle_{m\sim u} \sim \frac{1}{q}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w-1|=\frac{1}{8}}\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta(w+\alpha)G_A(w,q)\big(\frac{u}{q}\big)^{w-1}\ dw,$$

where G is a multiplicative function for which

$$G_A(1-\alpha,p^r) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\tau_{A'}(p^{j+r})}{p^{j(1-\alpha)}}$$

with $A' = A-\{\alpha\}$. With $*: mN-nM=h$, this leads to

$$\langle\tau_A(m)\tau_B(n)\rangle_{m=u}^{(*)} \sim \frac{1}{M}\sum_{q=1}^\infty r_q(h)\langle\tau_A(m)e(mN/q)\rangle_{m\sim u}\langle\tau_B(n)e(nM/q)\rangle_{n\sim\frac{uN}{M}}$$
$$\sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\ \beta\in B}} u^{-\alpha-\beta}M^{-1+\beta}N^{-\beta}Z(A'_{-\alpha})Z(B'_{-\beta})\sum_{d|h}\frac{1}{d^{1-\alpha-\beta}}$$
$$\times\sum_q \frac{\mu(q)(qd,M)^{1-\beta}(qd,N)^{1-\alpha}}{q^{2-\alpha-\beta}}G_A\big(1-\alpha,\frac{qd}{(qd,N)}\big)G_B\big(1-\beta,\frac{qd}{(qd,M)}\big),$$

where

$$Z(A) = \prod_{a\in A}\zeta(1+a).$$

Inserting this into LHS we have

$$\text{LHS} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} X^s\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\ell s} \sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\ldots N_\ell=M_1\ldots M_\ell\\ \epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}} \int_{0<v_1,\ldots,v_\ell<\infty} \hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1 v_1+\cdots+\epsilon_\ell v_\ell)$$
$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\Big[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}} M_j^{\beta_j-1}N_j^{s+\alpha_j}\sum_{h_j,q_j,d_j}\frac{1}{(h_jd_j)^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}}\frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}$$
$$v_j^{s-1+\alpha_j+\beta_j}G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j}dw_jdz_j\ dv_j\Big]\ \frac{ds}{s}$$

Now we sum over the h_j to get factors $\zeta(s+\alpha_j+\beta_j)$; these pair up with the factors $\chi(w_j+z_j-s-1)$ which turned into $\chi(1-\alpha_j-\beta_j-s)$ after collecting the residues $w_j=1-\alpha_j$ and $z_j=1-\beta_j$ that arose from the integral over v_j. Then using the functional equation for ζ we have $\zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)$. Thus, the s-integrand without the $\frac{X^s}{s}$ in LHS becomes

$$\text{LHS} = \int_0^\infty \psi(t)\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\ell s}\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\ldots N_\ell=M_1\ldots M_\ell}}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)t^{-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j}$$
$$\Big[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}} M_j^{\beta_j-1}N_j^{s+\alpha_j}\sum_{q_j,d_j}\frac{1}{d_j^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}}\frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}$$
$$G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j}dw_jdz_j\Big]\ dt,$$

and our goal is to prove that this is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(t)\sum_{\substack{U(\ell)\subset A\\ V(\ell)\subset B}}\Big(\frac{Tt}{2\pi}\Big)^{-\sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}\in U(\ell)\\ \hat{\beta}\in V(\ell)}}(\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}+s)}$$
$$\times\mathcal{B}(A_s-U(\ell)_s+V(\ell)^-,B-V(\ell)+U(\ell)_s{}^-,s+1)\ dt.$$

This further reduces to proving

$$\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell}}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)$$
$$\Bigg[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}} M_j^{\beta_j-1}N_j^{s+\alpha_j}\sum_{q_j,d_j}\frac{1}{d_j^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}}\frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}$$
$$G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)dw_jdz_j\Bigg]$$
$$=\mathcal{B}(A_s-U(\ell)_s+V(\ell)^-,B-V(\ell)+U(\ell)_s{}^-,s+1).$$

7. Local considerations

We shall find it convenient to state our main theorem as an identity of the Euler factor at a prime p. We begin by introducing a set-theoretic notation. First of all, since p is fixed for this discussion we will often suppress it. In fact we write X for $1/p$ and mostly consider power series in X. We take the unusual step of suppressing not only the prime p but the divisor function and so we write $A(n)$ in place of $\tau_A(p^n)$. Also, for a set A we let

$$A_\alpha=\{a+\alpha : a\in A\}.$$

A further piece of notation: $A^+=A\cup\{0\}$. We have two important identities. The first is

$$A^+(d)=A(d)+A^+(d-1).$$

This is a special case of

$$(A\cup\{-\alpha\})(d-1)=X^\alpha\Big((A\cup\{-\alpha\})(d)-A(d)\Big).$$

The other identity is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{R}A(r+M)=A^+(R+M)-A^+(M-1)$$

which follows by repeated application of the first identity.

For arbitrary sets A,B we let

$$C(A,B):=\sum_{M=0}^{\infty}A(M)B(M)X^M.$$

Also, we let

$$Z(A)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}A(j)X^j=\prod_{a\in A}(1-X^{1+a})^{-1}.$$

We begin with sets A_j, B_j and numbers α_j, β_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. We consider

$$\mathcal{Q} := \sum_{\substack{\min(M_j,N_j)=0\\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} M_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} N_j}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Sigma_{A_j,B_j,\alpha_j,\beta_j}(M_j,N_j) X^{M_j(1-\beta_j)-N_j\alpha_j}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,N) &= \sum_{\substack{d,j,k\\ q\le 1}} (-1)^q X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+q+d-\min(q+d,N)) \\ &\qquad \times B_{-\beta}(k+q+d-\min(q+d,M)) X^{2q+d+j+k-\min(q+d,M)-\min(q+d,N)}\end{aligned}$$

Our identity is

Theorem 2.

$$\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(1-X^{1-\alpha_j-\beta_j})\mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell\cup\{-\beta_1,\dots,-\beta_\ell\}, B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell\cup\{-\alpha_1,\dots,-\alpha_\ell\}).$$

7.1. **Some lemmas.** Because of the condition $\min(M_j, N_j) = 0$ we consider $\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M, 0)$ and $\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(0, N)$. We have

Lemma 1.

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,0) &= X^{M\beta}\Big(\sum_K (B\cup\{-\alpha\})(K)A(K+M)X^K - \sum_K B(K)A(K+M)X^K \\ &\qquad + \sum_K B(K)(A\cup\{-\beta\})(K+M)X^K\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(0,N) &= X^{N\alpha}\Big(\sum_K (A\cup\{-\beta\})(K)B(K+N)X^K - \sum_K A(K)B(K+N)X^K \\ &\qquad + \sum_K A(K)(B\cup\{-\alpha\})(K+N)X^K\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We defer the proof to later.

The result of the lemma leads us to consider

$$\mathcal{F}(A_1,\dots,A_\ell;B_1,\dots,B_\ell) = \sum_{\substack{\sum M_i=\sum N_i\\ \min(M_i,N_i)=0\\ K_i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j(K_j+M_j)B_j(K_j+N_j)X^{K_j+M_j}.$$

We will prove

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\mathcal{F}(A_1,\dots,A_\ell;B_1,\dots,B_\ell) = \mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell, B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell).$$

The right-hand side of Theorem 2 may be expanded. This leads to

Lemma 3. *For $J \subset \{1,\dots,\ell\}$ let*

$$-\beta_J = \{-\beta_j : j \in J\} \qquad -\alpha_J = \{-\alpha_j : j \in J\}.$$

We have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(1-X^{1-\alpha_j-\beta_j})\mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell\cup\{-\beta_1,\dots,-\beta_\ell\},B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell\cup\{-\alpha_1,\dots,-\alpha_\ell\})$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{J_1,J_2\subset\{1,\dots,\ell\}\\ J_1\cap J_2=\emptyset}}(-1)^{|J_1|+|J_2|}\mathcal{C}(A\cup-\beta_{J_1},B\cup-\alpha_{J_2})$$

where $A=A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell$ and $B=B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell$.

The combination of these three lemmas easily leads to a proof of Theorem 2.

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.**

Proof. By Lemma 1 the left side of the identity in Theorem 2 may be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{\min(M_j,N_j)=0\\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}M_j=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}N_j}}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\Sigma_{A_j,B_j,\alpha_j,\beta_j}(M_j,N_j)X^{M_j(1-\beta_j)-N_j\alpha_j}$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{\min(M_j,N_j)=0\\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}M_j=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}N_j}}X^{M_1+\dots M_\ell}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\Bigg(\sum_K(A_j\cup\{-\beta_j\})(K+M_j)B_j(K+N_j)X^K$$
$$-\sum_K A_j(K+M_j)B_j(K+N_j)X^K$$
$$+\sum_K A_j(K+M_j)(B_j\cup\{-\alpha_j\})(K+N_j)X^K\Bigg).$$

By Lemma 2 this is

$$=(-1)^\ell\sum_{\substack{J_1,J_2\subset\{1,\dots,\ell\}\\ J_1\cap J_2=\emptyset}}(-1)^{|J_1|+|J_2|}\mathcal{C}(A\cup-\beta_{J_1},B\cup-\alpha_{J_2})$$

and by Lemma 3 this is

$$=\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(1-X^{1-\alpha_j-\beta_j})\mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell\cup\{-\beta_1,\dots,-\beta_\ell\},B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell\cup\{-\alpha_1,\dots,-\alpha_\ell\})$$

which is the right side of the identity in Theorem 2.

□

7.3. **Proof of first lemma.**

Proof. Expanding the q-sum, we have

$$= \sum_{d,j,k} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d) B_{-\beta}(k+d-\min(d,M)) X^{d+j+k-\min(d,M)}$$
$$- \sum_{d,j,k} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+1+d) B_{-\beta}(k+1+d-\min(1+d,M)) X^{2+d+j+k-\min(1+d,M)}.$$

We split this into the terms with $d < M$ and those with $d \geq M$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^-(M,0) &= \sum_{\substack{j,k\\ d<M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d) B_{-\beta}(k) X^{j+k} \\ &\qquad - \sum_{\substack{j,k\\ d<M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+1+d) B_{-\beta}(k) X^{1+j+k} \\ &= Z(B_{-\beta}) \Big(\sum_{\substack{j\\ d<M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d) X^{j} - \sum_{\substack{j\\ d<M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+1+d) X^{1+j} \Big).\end{aligned}$$

The sum over j telescopes so that this is

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^-(M,0) &= Z(B_{-\beta}) \sum_{d<M} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(d) \\ &= Z(B_{-\beta}) \sum_{d<M} A_{\beta}(d) = Z(B_{-\beta}) (A_{\beta})^+(M-1).\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+(M) &= \sum_{\substack{j,k\\ d\geq M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d) B_{-\beta}(k+d-M) X^{d+j+k-M} \\ &\qquad - \sum_{\substack{j,k\\ d\geq M}} X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+1+d) B_{-\beta}(k+1+d-M) X^{2+d+j+k-M}.\end{aligned}$$

We replace d by $d+M$ and have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+(M) &= \sum_{j,k,d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d+M) B_{-\beta}(k+d) X^{d+j+k} \\ &\qquad - \sum_{j,k,d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+1+d+M) B_{-\beta}(k+1+d) X^{2+d+j+k}.\end{aligned}$$

Now the sum over j and k telescopes and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+(M) &= \sum_{j,d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+d+M) B_{-\beta}(d) X^{d+j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(d+M) B_{-\beta}(k+d) X^{d+k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(d+M) B_{-\beta}(d) X^{d}.\end{aligned}$$

We recognize a convolution in the first term and rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+(M) &= \sum_{r} X^{M(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(r+M) (B_\alpha)^+(r) X^{r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(d+M) B_{-\beta}(k+d) X^{d+k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{d} X^{(d+M)(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(d+M) B_{-\beta}(d) X^{d}.\end{aligned}$$

The middle term here may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_K B_{-\beta}(K) X^K \sum_{d \le K} A_\beta(d+M) &= \sum_K B_{-\beta}(K) X^K \left((A_\beta)^+(K+M) - (A_\beta)^+(M-1) \right) \\ &= \sum_K B_{-\beta}(K) (A_\beta)^+(K+M) X^K - Z(B_{-\beta})(A_\beta)^+(M-1).\end{aligned}$$

The second term of this cancels with $\Sigma_1^-(M,0)$ and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,0) &= X^{M(\alpha+\beta)} \sum_K (B_\alpha)^+(K) A_{-\alpha}(K+M) X^K - X^{M(\alpha+\beta)} \sum_K B_\alpha(K) A_{-\alpha}(K+M) X^K \\ &\quad + \sum_K B_{-\beta}(K) (A_\beta)^+(K+M) X^K.\end{aligned}$$

This may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,0) &= X^{M\beta} \Big(\sum_K (B \cup \{-\alpha\})(K) A(K+M) X^K - \sum_K B(K) A(K+M) X^K \\ &\quad + \sum_K B(K) (A \cup \{-\beta\})(K+M) X^K \Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

By symmetry

$$\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(0,N) = X^{N\alpha}\Big(\sum_K (A\cup\{-\beta\})(K)B(K+N)X^K - \sum_K A(K)B(K+N)X^K + \sum_K A(K)(B\cup\{-\alpha\})(K+N)X^K\Big).$$

7.4. **Proof of second lemma.**

Proof. We prove more generally that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1+\cdots+M_\ell=N_1+\cdots+N_\ell\\ \min(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=\min(M_\ell,N_\ell)=0\\ K_1,\dots K_\ell}} A_1(K_1+M_1)B_1(K_1+N_1)\dots A_\ell(K_\ell+M_\ell)B_\ell(K_\ell+N_\ell)X^{K_1+\cdots+K_\ell+M_1+\cdots+M_\ell}$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}(A_1*\cdots*A_\ell)(N)(B_1*\cdots*B_\ell)(N)X^N$$

where the A_i, and B_i are any functions on the natural numbers (i.e. sequences) and $*$ just means the usual Cauchy convolution one encounters when multiplying power series together. It suffices to prove

$$\sum_{\substack{\min(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=\min(M_\ell,N_\ell)=0\\ K_1,\dots K_\ell}} A_1(K_1+M_1)B_1(K_1+N_1)\dots A_\ell(K_\ell+M_\ell)B_\ell(K_\ell+N_\ell)$$
$$\times Y^{2(K_1+\cdots+K_\ell)+M_1+\cdots+M_\ell+N_1+\cdots+N_\ell}e(\theta(M_1+\cdots+M_\ell-N_1-\dots N_\ell))$$
$$= \sum_{M,N=0}^{\infty}(A_1*\cdots*A_\ell)(M)(B_1*\cdots*B_\ell)(N)Y^{M+N}e(\theta(M-N))$$

as then our desired result follows upon integrating θ from 0 to 1 upon taking $X=Y^2$. But now the left hand side is a product

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\sum_{\substack{K,M,N\\ \min(M,N)=0}} A_j(M+K)B_j(N+K)Y^{2K+M+N}e(\theta((M+K)-(N+K)))$$

and the right hand side is a product

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\sum_{R=0}^{\infty}A_j(R)(Ye(\theta))^R\sum_{S=0}^{\infty}B_j(S)(Ye(-\theta))^S.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{K,M,N\\ \min(M,N)=0}} A(M+K)B(N+K)Y^{K+M}Z^{K+N} = \sum_{R=0}^{\infty}A(R)Y^R\sum_{S=0}^{\infty}B(S)Z^S.$$

To do this, we consider the right hand side and order the double sum according to the minimum, call it K, of R and S. The right hand side may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{K=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{R,S \\ \min(R,S)=K}} A(R)Y^R B(S)Z^S.$$

Replacing R by $M+K$ and S by $N+K$, we see that this is exactly the left hand side. □

7.5. **Proof of third lemma.**

Proof. Recall that

$$x^{-\beta}(A \cup \{-\beta\})(n) = (A \cup \{-\beta\})(n+1) - A(n+1).$$

Using this we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-X^{1-\alpha-\beta}) \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (A \cup \{-\beta\})(m) X^{\frac{m}{2}} (B \cup \{-\alpha\})(n) X^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&\quad = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (A \cup \{-\beta\})(m) X^{\frac{m}{2}} (B \cup \{-\alpha\})(n) X^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&- \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} X^{\frac{(m+1)}{2}+\frac{(n+1)}{2}} \Big((A \cup \{-\beta\})(m+1) - A(m+1) \Big) \Big((B \cup \{-\alpha\})(n+1) - B(n+1) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line we can replace $m+1$ and $n+1$ by m and n since $(A \cup \{-\beta\})(0) = A(0) = 1$ and similarly for B. Multiplying out the last line and combining it with the line above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (A \cup \{-\beta\})(m) X^{\frac{m}{2}} B(n) X^{\frac{n}{2}} + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} A(m) X^{\frac{m}{2}} (B \cup \{-\alpha\})(n) X^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&- \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} A(m) B(n) X^{\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the idea is to apply this to each $A_j, B_j, \alpha_j, \beta_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-X^{1-\alpha_1-\beta_1}) \dots (1-X^{1-\alpha_\ell-\beta_\ell}) \mathcal{C}(A_1 \cup \{-\beta_1\}, \dots, A_\ell \cup \{-\beta_\ell\}, B_1 \cup \{-\alpha_1\}, \dots, B_\ell \cup \{-\alpha_\ell\}) \\
&\quad = \int_0^1 \sum_{m_1,n_1} (1-X^{1-\alpha_1-\beta_1})(A_1 \cup \{-\beta_1\})(m_1)(Xe(\theta))^{\frac{m_1}{2}} (B_1 \cup \{-\alpha_1\})(n_1)(Xe(-\theta))^{\frac{n_1}{2}} \\
&\quad \cdots \sum_{m_\ell,n_\ell} (1-X^{1-\alpha_\ell-\beta_\ell})(A_\ell \cup \{-\beta_\ell\})(m_\ell)(Xe(\theta))^{\frac{m_\ell}{2}} (B_\ell \cup \{-\alpha_\ell\})(n_\ell)(Xe(-\theta))^{\frac{n_\ell}{2}} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

We end up with

$$\int_0^1 \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m_j,n_j=0}^{\infty} (Xe(\theta))^{\frac{m_j}{2}} (Xe(-\theta))^{\frac{n_j}{2}} \Big((A_j \cup \{-\beta_j\})(m_j) B_j(n_j) + A_j(m_j)(B_j \cup \{-\alpha_j\})(n_j) - A_j(m_j)B_j(n_j) \Big) d\theta,$$

which is equal to

$$(-)^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{J_1,J_2 \subset \{1,\dots,\ell\} \\ J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset}} (-1)^{|J_1|+|J_2|} \mathcal{C}(A \cup -\beta_{J_1}, B \cup -\alpha_{J_2}).$$

□

8. Terms with some $h_j = 0$

Suppose that we are in the situation where

$$h_1 \dots h_{\ell'} \neq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_{\ell'+1} = \dots = h_{\ell} = 0.$$

Then for each $j > \ell'$ we have

$$m_j N_j = n_j M_j.$$

Since $(M_j, N_j) = 1$ this implies that

$$m_j = \kappa_j M_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad n_j = \kappa_j N_j$$

for some κ_j. Then, our sum is

$$\sum_{\substack{M_j,N_j \le Q \\ (M_j,N_j)=1 \\ M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell \\ \kappa_{\ell'+1} M_{\ell'+1} \dots \kappa_\ell M_\ell \le X}} \frac{\tau_{A'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1} M_{\ell'+1}) \tau_{B'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1} N_{\ell'+1}) \dots \tau_{A_\ell}(\kappa_\ell M_\ell) \tau_{B_\ell}(\kappa_\ell N_\ell)}{\kappa_{\ell'+1} \dots \kappa_\ell M_{\ell'+1} \dots M_\ell}$$

$$\sum_{h_1 \dots h_{\ell'} \neq 0} \sum_{m_1 \dots m_{\ell'} \le \frac{X}{\kappa_{\ell'+1} M_{\ell'+1} \dots \kappa_\ell M_\ell}} \frac{\tau_{A_1}(m_1) \dots \tau_{A_{\ell'}}(m_{\ell'}) \tau_{B_1}(n_1) \dots \tau_{B_{\ell'}}(n_{\ell'})}{m_1 \dots m_{\ell'}} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{Th_1}{2\pi m_1 N_1} + \dots + \frac{Th_{\ell'}}{2\pi m_{\ell'} N_{\ell'}} \right)$$

$$(*_1), \dots (*'_\ell)$$

where

$$(*_j) : m_j N_j - n_j M_j = h_j.$$

Now we replace the convolution sums (*) by their averages, i.e.

$$\int_{u_1 \dots u_{\ell'} \le X'} \langle \tau_{A_1}(m_1) \tau_{B_1}(n_1) \rangle_{m_1 \sim u_1}^{(*_1)} \dots \langle \tau_{A_{\ell'}}(m_{\ell'}) \tau_{B_{\ell'}}(n_{\ell'}) \rangle_{m_{\ell'} \sim u_{\ell'}}^{(*_{\ell'})} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{Th_1}{2\pi u_1 N_1} + \dots \frac{Th_{\ell'}}{2\pi u_{\ell'} N_{\ell'}} \right) \frac{du_1}{u_1} \dots \frac{du_{\ell'}}{u_{\ell'}}$$

where, as before, with $* : mN - nM = h$ we expect by the delta-method [DFI] that

$$\langle \tau_A(m) \tau_B(n) \rangle_{m \sim u}^{(*)} \sim \frac{1}{M} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \langle \tau_A(m) e(mN/q) \rangle_{m \sim u} \langle \tau_B(n) e(nM/q) \rangle_{n \sim \frac{uN}{M}}$$

with

$$\langle \tau_A(m)e(mN/q)\rangle_{m\sim u} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w-1|=\epsilon} D_A(w, e(\frac{N}{q}))u^{w-1}\,dw.$$

So, we are led to

$$\sum_{\substack{M_j,N_j\le Q\\(M_j,N_j)=1\\M_1\dots M_\ell=N_1\dots N_\ell\\ \kappa_{\ell'+1}M_{\ell'+1}\cdots\kappa_\ell M_\ell\le X}} \frac{\tau_{A'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1}M_{\ell'+1})\tau_{B'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1}N_{\ell'+1})\dots\tau_{A_\ell}(\kappa_\ell M_\ell)\tau_{B_\ell}(\kappa_\ell N_\ell)}{\kappa_{\ell'+1}\dots\kappa_\ell M_1\dots M_\ell}$$

$$\sum_{q_j,h_j} r_{q_1}(h_1)\dots r_{q_{\ell'}}(h_{\ell'})\int_{T^{\ell'}\le u_1\dots u_{\ell'}\le X'}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w_j-1|=\epsilon} D_{A_j}(w_j, e(\frac{N_j}{q_j}))u_j^{w_j-1}dw_j$$

$$\times\prod_{k=1}^{\ell'}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z_k-1|=\epsilon} D_{B_k}(z_k, e(\frac{M_k}{q_k}))(\frac{u_kN_k}{M_k})^{z_k-1}dz_k$$

$$\times\hat{\psi}\left(T\Big(\frac{h_1}{2\pi u_1N}+\dots+\frac{h_{\ell'}}{2\pi u_\ell N_{\ell'}}\Big)\right)\frac{du_1}{u_1}\dots\frac{du_{\ell'}}{u_{\ell'}}.$$

We make the changes of variable $v_j = \frac{T|h_j|}{2\pi u_jN_j}$ for $1\le j\le \ell'$ and bring the sums over the h_j to the inside; $u_1\dots u_{\ell'} < X'$ implies that

$$|h_1\dots h_{\ell'}| < \frac{(2\pi)^{\ell'}X'v_1\dots v_{\ell'}N_1\dots N_{\ell'}}{T^{\ell'}}.$$

We detect this condition using Perron's formula in an integral over s. Then the above is

$$\int_{0<v_1,\dots,v_{\ell'}<\infty}\sum_{\substack{M_j,N_j\le Q\\(M_j,N_j)=1\\M_1\dots M_\ell=N_1\dots N_\ell\\ \kappa_{\ell'+1}M_{\ell'+1}\cdots\kappa_\ell M_\ell\le X}} \frac{\tau_{A'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1}M_{\ell'+1})\tau_{B'_\ell+1}(\kappa_{\ell'+1}N_{\ell'+1})\dots\tau_{A_\ell}(\kappa_\ell M_\ell)\tau_{B_\ell}(\kappa_\ell N_\ell)}{\kappa_{\ell'+1}\dots\kappa_\ell M_1\dots M_\ell}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}\sum_{q_j,h_j}\frac{r_{q_1}(h_1)\dots r_{q_{\ell'}}(h_{\ell'})}{(h_1\dots h_{\ell'})^s}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w_j-1|=\epsilon} D_{A_j}(w_j, e(\frac{N_j}{q_j}))(\frac{Th_j}{2\pi v_jN_j})^{w_j-1}dw_j$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell'}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z_k-1|=\epsilon} D_{B_k}(z_k, e(\frac{M_k}{q_k}))(\frac{Th_k}{2\pi v_kM_k})^{z_k-1}dz_k$$

$$\times\left(\frac{(2\pi)^{\ell'}Xv_1\dots v_{\ell'}N_1\dots N_{\ell'}}{T^{\ell'}}\right)^s\sum_{\epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}\hat{\psi}(\epsilon_1v_1+\dots+\epsilon_{\ell'}v_{\ell'})\frac{dv_1}{v_1}\dots\frac{dv_{\ell'}}{v_{\ell'}}\frac{ds}{s}$$

where the $\epsilon_j = \mathrm{sgn}(h_j)$ arise from taking account of the signs of the h_j. We now simplify this a bit. We combine the middle two lines into a single product over j and we gather together

all of the like variables:

$$\text{LHS'} := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{(2)} X^{s+s'}\Big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\Big)^{-\ell' s}\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell\\ \epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}}\sum_{\kappa_{\ell'},\dots\kappa_\ell}\prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell}\frac{\tau_{A_i}(M_i\kappa_i)\tau_{B_i}(N_i\kappa_i)}{(\kappa_i M_i)^{1+s'}}$$

$$\int_{0<v_1,\dots,v_{\ell'}<\infty}\hat\psi(\epsilon_1 v_1+\cdots+\epsilon_\ell v_{\ell'})\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\Bigg[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{\substack{|w_j-1|=\epsilon\\ |z_k-1|=\epsilon}} M_j^{-1-z_j}N_j^{s+1-w_j}\sum_{h_j,q_j}\frac{r_{q_j}(h_j)}{h_j^{s+2-w_j-z_j}}v_j^{s+1-w_j-z_j}$$

$$D_{A_j}(w_j,e\big(\frac{N_j}{q_j}\big))D_{B_j}(z_j,e\big(\frac{M_j}{q_j}\big))\Big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\Big)^{w_j+z_j-2}dw_j dz_j\ dv_j\Bigg]\ \frac{ds}{s}\ \frac{ds'}{s'}$$

Now we have another key identity:

Theorem 3.

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{s'=0} LHS' = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)} X^s\int_0^\infty\psi(t)\sum_{\substack{U(\ell')\subset A\\ V(\ell')\subset B}}\Big(\frac{Tt}{2\pi}\Big)^{-\sum_{\substack{\hat\alpha\in U(\ell')\\ \hat\beta\in V(\ell')}}(\hat\alpha+\hat\beta+s)}$$

$$\times\mathcal{B}(A_s-U(\ell')_s+V(\ell')^-,B-V(\ell')+U(\ell')_s{}^-,s+1)\ dt\ \frac{ds}{s}$$

where $U(\ell')$ denotes a set of cardinality ℓ' with precisely one element from each of $A_1,\dots,A_{\ell'}$ and similarly $V(\ell')$ denotes a set of cardinality ℓ' with precisely one element from each of $B_1,\dots,B_{\ell'}$.

9. Preliminary reductions, again

Using

$$\hat\psi(v_1+\cdots+v_{\ell'})=\int_0^\infty\psi(t)e(t(v_1+\cdots+v_{\ell'}))\ dt$$

we see that

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}\hat\psi(\epsilon_1v_1+\cdots+\epsilon_{\ell'}v_{\ell'})=\int_0^\infty\psi(t)\big(e(tv_1)+e(-tv_1)\big)\dots\big(e(tv_{\ell'})+e(-tv_{\ell'})\big)\ dt.$$

Also

$$\int_0^\infty v^{s+\alpha+\beta-1}(e(tv)+e(-tv))\ dv=t^{-s-\alpha-\beta}\chi(1-s-\alpha-\beta).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}\int_{v_1,\dots,v_{\ell'}=0}^\infty\hat\psi(\epsilon_1v_1+\dots\epsilon_{\ell'}v_{\ell'})\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}v_j^{s+1-w_j-z_j}dv_j$$

$$=\int_0^\infty\psi(t)\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\chi(w_j+z_j-s-1)t^{w_j+z_j-s-2}\ dt.$$

10. Poles, again

As before we use

$$\langle\tau_A(m)\tau_B(n)\rangle^{(*)}_{m=u} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\ \beta\in B}} u^{-\alpha-\beta}M^{-1+\beta}N^{-\beta}Z(A'_{-\alpha})Z(B'_{-\beta})\sum_{d|h}\frac{1}{d^{1-\alpha-\beta}}$$
$$\times\sum_q \frac{\mu(q)(qd,M)^{1-\beta}(qd,N)^{1-\alpha}}{q^{2-\alpha-\beta}}G_A\big(1-\alpha,\frac{qd}{(qd,N)}\big)G_B\big(1-\beta,\frac{qd}{(qd,M)}\big).$$

Inserting this into LHS' we have

$$\text{LHS'} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\iint_{(2)} X^{s+s'}\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\ell' s}\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell\\ \epsilon_j\in\{-1,+1\}}}\sum_{\kappa_{\ell'},\dots\kappa_\ell}\prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell}\frac{\tau_{A_i}(M_i\kappa_i)\tau_{B_i}(N_i\kappa_i)}{(\kappa_i M_i)^{1+s'}}$$
$$\int_{0<v_1,\dots,v_{\ell'}<\infty}\hat\psi(\epsilon_1 v_1+\cdots+\epsilon_\ell v_{\ell'})v_j^{s-1+\alpha_j+\beta_j}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\Big[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}}M_j^{\beta_j-1}N_j^{s+\alpha_j}$$
$$\times\sum_{h_j,q_j,d_j}\frac{1}{(h_jd_j)^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}}\frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}$$
$$G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j}\,dv_j\Big]\,\frac{ds}{s}\,\frac{ds'}{s'}.$$

Now we sum over the h_j to get factors $\zeta(s+\alpha_j+\beta_j)$; these pair up with the factors $\chi(w_j+z_j-s-1)$ which turned into $\chi(1-\alpha_j-\beta_j-s)$ after collecting the residues $w_j=1-\alpha_j$ and $z_j=1-\beta_j$ that arose from the integral over v_j. Then using the functional equation for ζ we have $\zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)$. Thus, the s-integrand without the $\frac{X^s}{s}$ in LHS' becomes

$$\text{LHS'} = \int_0^\infty\psi(t)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}X^{s'}\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\ell s}\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell=M_1\dots M_\ell}}\sum_{\kappa_{\ell'},\dots\kappa_\ell}\prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell}\frac{\tau_{A_i}(M_i\kappa_i)\tau_{B_i}(N_i\kappa_i)}{(\kappa_iM_i)^{1+s'}}$$
$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)t^{-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j}$$
$$\Big[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}}M_j^{\beta_j-1}N_j^{s+\alpha_j}\sum_{q_j,d_j}\frac{1}{d_j^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}}\frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}$$
$$G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)\big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\big)^{-\alpha_j-\beta_j}\Big]\frac{ds'}{s'}\,dt,$$

and our goal is to prove that the residue of this at $s'=0$ is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(t) \sum_{\substack{U(\ell)\subset A\\ V(\ell)\subset B}} \Big(\frac{Tt}{2\pi}\Big)^{-\sum_{\substack{\hat\alpha\in U(\ell')\\ \hat\beta\in V(\ell')}}(\hat\alpha+\hat\beta+s)} \times \mathcal{B}(A_s - U(\ell')_s + V(\ell')^-, B - V(\ell') + U(\ell')_s{}^-, s+1)\, dt.$$

This further reduces to proving

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{Res}_{s'=0} &\sum_{\substack{(M_1,N_1)=\cdots=(M_\ell,N_\ell)=1\\ N_1\dots N_\ell = M_1\dots M_\ell}} \sum_{\kappa_{\ell'},\dots\kappa_\ell} \prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell} \frac{\tau_{A_i}(M_i\kappa_i)\tau_{B_i}(N_i\kappa_i)}{(\kappa_i M_i)^{1+s'}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell'} \zeta(1-s-\alpha_j-\beta_j)\\ &\Big[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_j\in A_j\\ \beta_j\in B_j}} M_j^{\beta_j-1} N_j^{s+\alpha_j} \sum_{q_j,d_j} \frac{1}{d_j^{s+\alpha_j+\beta_j}} \frac{\mu(q_j)(q_jd_j,M_j)^{1-\beta_j}(q_jd_j,N_j)^{1-\alpha_j}}{q_j^{2-\alpha_j-\beta_j}}\\ &\qquad G_{A_j}\big(1-\alpha_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,N_j)}\big)G_{B_j}\big(1-\beta_j,\frac{q_jd_j}{(q_jd_j,M_j)}\big)\Big]\frac{X^{s'}}{s'}\\ &= \mathcal{B}(A_s - U(\ell')_s + V(\ell')^-, B - V(\ell') + U(\ell')_s{}^-, s+1).\end{aligned}$$

11. Local considerations, again

Again we convert the above to an identity about the Euler factor of each side at a prime p.

With arbitrary sets A_j, B_j and numbers α_j, β_j for $j=1,2,\dots,\ell'$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}' := \sum_{\substack{\kappa_{\ell'+1},\dots,\kappa_\ell\\ \min(M_j,N_j)=0\\ \sum_{j=1}^\ell M_j = \sum_{j=1}^\ell N_j}} &\prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell} A_i(M_i+\kappa_i)B_i(N_i+\kappa_i)X^{M_i+\kappa_i}\\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell'} \Sigma_{A_j,B_j,\alpha_j,\beta_j}(M_j,N_j)X^{M_j(1-\beta_j)-N_j\alpha_j},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,N) &= \sum_{\substack{d,j,k\\ q\le 1}} (-1)^q X^{d(\alpha+\beta)} A_{-\alpha}(j+q+d-\min(q+d,N))\\ &\qquad \times B_{-\beta}(k+q+d-\min(q+d,M))X^{2q+d+j+k-\min(q+d,M)-\min(q+d,N)},\end{aligned}$$

as before.

Our identity is

Theorem 4.

$$\mathcal{Q}' = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}(1-X^{1-\alpha_j-\beta_j})\mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell\cup\{-\beta_1,\dots,-\beta_{\ell'}\}, B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell\cup\{-\alpha_1,\dots,-\alpha_{\ell'}\}).$$

11.1. **Recall lemmas.** Our earlier lemma implies that if $\min(M,N)=0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(M,N) &= X^{M\beta+N\alpha}\bigg(\sum_K (A\cup\{-\beta\})(K+M)B(K+N)X^K\\ &\quad -\sum_K A(K+M)B(K+N)X^K\\ &\quad +\sum_K A(K+M)(B\cup\{-\alpha\})(K+N)X^K\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

So, we can replace the Σs in the formula for $\mathcal{Q}'$ by this expression.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}' = \sum_{\substack{\kappa_{\ell'+1},\dots,\kappa_\ell\\ \min(M_j,N_j)=0\\ \sum_{j=1}^\ell M_j=\sum_{j=1}^\ell N_j}} \prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\ell} A_i(M_i+\kappa_i)B_i(N_i+\kappa_i)X^{M_i+\kappa_i}\\ \times\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}\bigg[X^{M_j\beta_j+N_j\alpha_j}\bigg(\sum_{K_j}(A_j\cup\{-\beta_j\})(K_j+M_j)B_j(K_j+N_j)X^{K+j}\\ -\sum_{K_j}A_j(K_j+M_j)B_j(K_j+N_j)X^{K_j}\\ +\sum_{K_j}A_j(K_j+M_j)(B_j\cup\{-\alpha_j\})(K_j+N_j)X^{K_j}\bigg)\bigg]X^{M_j(1-\beta_j)-N_j\alpha_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, the critical observations are that

$$\sum_{\substack{K_1,\dots,K_\ell\\ \sum M_j=\sum N_j\\ \min(M_j,N_j)=0}}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}A_j(K_j+M_j)B_j(K_j+N_j)X^{K_j+M_j} = \mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell, B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell),$$

as before, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{j=1}^{\ell'}(1-X^{1-\alpha_j-\beta_j})\mathcal{C}(A_1\cup\cdots\cup A_\ell\cup\{-\beta_1,\dots,-\beta_{\ell'}\}, B_1\cup\cdots\cup B_\ell\cup\{-\alpha_1,\dots,-\alpha_{\ell'}\})\\ &\quad=\sum_{\substack{J_1,J_2\subset\{1,\dots,\ell'\}\\ J_1\cap J_2=\emptyset}}(-1)^{|J_1|+|J_2|}\mathcal{C}(A\cup-\beta_{J_1}, B\cup-\alpha_{J_2}),\end{aligned}$$

where $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_\ell$ and $B = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_\ell$.

These together imply Theorem 4.

12. Multiplicities

12.1. **How many times is a given ℓ swap repeated?** Now we need to give an accounting of what we have so far. Each time we split A and B up into subsets $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_\ell$ and $B = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_\ell$ we accumulate terms that correspond to all swaps of $\alpha_j \in A_j$ and $\beta_j \in B_j$. For a fixed decomposition of A into ℓ subsets we clearly do not get ALL swaps of ℓ-sized subsets of A and B. Our solution to this dilemma is that we consider all decompositions of A into ℓ disjoint non-empty susets and similarly for B. Then every pair of ℓ sized subsets will indeed appear in the swaps. However, now two different decompositions will often lead to the same swap. So how do we account for the overcounting?

How many times will a given ℓ-sized swap S for T occur? This is equivalent to asking how many ways can A be split into ℓ subsets where A_j contains α_j? If A has k elements then there are $k - \ell$ elements that can be distributed arbitrarily into ℓ sets. This can happen in $\ell^{(k-\ell)}$ ways. Similarly for B. Taking into account permutations we end up with a multiplicity of $\ell!^2\ell^{2(k-\ell)}$.

12.2. **How many times does the same (m, n) lead to a solution of a $(*)$-system?** Our original problem is to evaluate

$$\sum_{m,n\le X} \frac{\tau_A(m)\tau_B(n)}{\sqrt{m}n}\hat{\psi}\Big(\frac{T}{2\pi}\log\frac{m}{n}\Big).$$

Note that if $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ and $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\}$ then

$$\tau_A(m) = \sum_{\mu_1\dots\mu_k=m} \mu_1^{-\alpha_1}\dots\mu_k^{-\alpha_k} \qquad \tau_B(n) = \sum_{\nu_1\dots\nu_k=n} \nu_1^{-\beta_1}\dots\nu_k^{-\beta_k}.$$

We split A into $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_\ell$ and B into $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_\ell$; this is equivalent to splitting $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ into $I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_\ell$ where $A_i = \{\alpha_i : i \in I_i\}$ and also $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} = J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_\ell$ where $B_j = \{\beta_j : j \in J_j\}$. Then $\tau_{A_i}(m_i) = \prod_{i'\in I_i} \mu_{i'}^{-\alpha_{i'}}$ and $\tau_{B_j}(n_j) = \prod_{j'\in J_j} \mu_{j'}^{-\beta_{j'}}$. Now after this splitting we count the m_i and n_j according to our $(*)$-system:

$$\begin{aligned}(*_1) : N_1 m_1 &= M_1 n_1 + h_1\\ &\vdots\\ (*_\ell) : N_\ell m_\ell &= M_\ell n_\ell + h_\ell\end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell$. Now let's say we have a solution of the $(*)$-system as above and let's take a collection of divisors of the m_i and n_j. For simplicity, let's suppose that $\mu_i \mid m_i$ and $\nu_j \mid n_j$ for $1 \le i, j \le \ell$. Let's write

$$m_1 = \mu_1\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, m_\ell = \mu_\ell\hat{\mu}_\ell \qquad n_1 = \nu_1\hat{\nu}_1, \dots, n_\ell = \nu_\ell\hat{\nu}_\ell.$$

The question is: How many ways are there to do this? If we multiply the jth equation in our system by $\mu_j^*\nu_j^*$, where $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\mu_j\mu_j^* = m$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\nu_j\nu_j^* = n$, then we have a new equation

$$\tilde{N}_j\tilde{m}_j = \tilde{M}_j\tilde{n}_j + \tilde{h}_j$$

where

$$\tilde{m}_j = \mu_j\mu_j^* \qquad \tilde{n}_j = \nu_j\nu_j^* \qquad \tilde{M}_j = M_j\hat{\mu}_j\nu_j^* \qquad \tilde{N}_j = N_j\mu_j^*\hat{\nu}_j \qquad \tilde{h}_j = h_j\mu_j^*\nu_j^*.$$

If $(\tilde{M}_j, \tilde{N}_j) > 1$ then the common factor can be divided out and out of $\tilde{h}_j$. Note that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\tilde{M}_j = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}M_j\hat{\mu}_j\nu_j^* = mn\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\frac{M_j}{\mu_j\nu_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\tilde{N}_j.$$

Thus, we have a new $(*)$ system but it corresponds to exactly the same $m = \mu_1\dots\mu_k$ and $n = \nu_1\dots\nu_k$ as in the old one. The number of ways to construct these $(*)$-systems is just the number of ways to compose the $\hat{\mu}_j$ as products of the available $\{\mu_{\ell+1}, \dots, \mu_\ell\}$ and the $\hat{\nu}_j$ from the $\{\nu_{\ell+1}, \dots \nu_\ell\}$. But this is exactly $\ell^{k-\ell}$ for the $\hat{\mu}_j$ and the same for the $\hat{\nu}_j$. Then we take into account the ordering of the $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell$ and of the $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell$; this gives a factor of $\ell!^2$. In this way we arrive at a multiplicity $\ell!^2\ell^{2(k-\ell)}$ for each solution of our $(*)$-system which is the same as the multiplicity counted in the swaps of ℓ-sets. We need to divide out this multiplicity.

12.3. **Conclusion.** We have found that the ℓ-swap terms predicted by the recipe can be accounted for by splitting the original A and B sets into ℓ subsets in all possible ways and counting by the delta method the solutions of the $(*)$-systems that arise. In this way we arrive at the expected answer for ℓ-swaps but multiplied by a factor $\ell!^2\ell^{2(k-\ell)}$. This factor is accounted for by the fact that the same term in the original sum appears in this many different $(*)$-systems.

References

[BK1] E.B. Bogomolny and J.P. Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros I: three- and four-point correlations. Nonlinearity 8 (1995), 1115–1131.

[BK2] E.B. Bogomolny and J.P. Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros II: n-point correlations. Nonlinearity 9 (1996), 911–935.

[CFKRS] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M.O. Rubinstein and N.C. Snaith. Integral moments of L-functions. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 91 (2005) 33–104.

[CK1] J.B. Conrey and J.P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: I. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 373 (2015), 20140313; arXiv:1506.06842

[CK2] J.B. Conrey and J.P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: II. In Advances in the Theory of Numbers – Proceedings of the Thirteenth Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association, Fields Institute Communications (Editors: A. Alaca, S. Alaca & K.S. Williams), 75–85 (2015, Springer); arXiv:1506.06843

[CK3] J.B. Conrey and J.P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: III. Indagationes Mathematicae 26 (2015), no. 5, 736–747; arXiv:1506.06844

[CK4] J.B. Conrey and J.P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: IV. Res. Number Theory (2016) 2:24; arXiv:1506.06844

[CK5] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Pair correlation and twin primes revisited. Proc. R. Soc. A 472 (2016), 20160548; arXiv:1604.06124

[CK6] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Averages of ratios of the Riemann zeta-function and correlations of divisor sums. arXiv:1611.09198

[DFI] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. A quadratic divisor problem. Invent. Math. 115 (1994), no. 2, 209–217.

[GG] D.A. Goldston and S.M. Gonek. Mean value theorems for long Dirichlet polynomials and tails of Dirichlet series. Acta Arith. 84 (1998), no. 2, 155–192.

[KS] J.P. Keating and N.C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. Commun. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), 57–89.

American Institute of Mathematics, 360 Portage Ave, Palo Alto, CA 94306, USA and School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, UK
E-mail address: conrey@aimath.org

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, UK
E-mail address: j.p.keating@bristol.ac.uk